

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/659,318	THAI, THANH	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sherman D. Basinger	3617	

All Participants:

(1) Sherman D. Basinger.

(2) Mr. McGlynn.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 20 October 2004

Time: 11:00a.m.

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Volf, jr. in view of Neill with respect to claim 12 as originally filed and set forth in the first office action

Claims discussed:

22

Prior art documents discussed:

Volf, jr. and Neill

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

discussed changes to claim 22 to make it allowable

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



SHERMAN BASINGER
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)